Attorney Docket No. 1489/US/1

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.133, Applicant submits the following summary of the interview conducted with Examiner Phuoc H. Nguyen on March 11, 2008. During the interview, the Examiner indicated that independent claims 1, 9 and 21 would be allowed if they contained limitations similar to dependent claim 12. Amendments consistent with the view taken by the Examiner are included herein.

REMARKS

This Proposed Amendment is filed in response to the Examiner Interview summarized above. Claims 1, 9, 18-19, 21, 30 and 32-38 are amended, claims 10 and 12 are canceled and claims 11, 26 and 27 were previously canceled. Accordingly, after entry of this Proposed Amendment, claims 1-9, 13-26 and 28-40 would be pending.

In response to the Examiner's comments summarized above, independent claim 9 is amended to incorporate the limitations of dependent claim 12. Independent claims 1 and 21 are amended to include similar subject matter as amended claim 9. Dependent claims 18-19, 30 and 32-38 are amended to maintain antecedent basis with the amended independent claims. Amendments to the claims are made strictly to place the claims into an allowable form as indicated by the Examiner.

It is noted that the claims, depending on the particular language of each claim, now generally involve subject matter where a first module is configured to determine a time period available for presenting a viewable portion of one or more messages, wherein the time period comprises an approximate quantity of time needed for the web browser to establish the connection with the network node and to retrieve and present a viewable portion of the information based upon the quantity of data to be received and network bandwidth. Hence, a viewable portion of at least one message may be initiated, but not necessarily completed or terminated, during the time period based upon the quantity of data to be received and network bandwidth.

The amendments to the claims should not be construed as an admission as to the scope of the cited art or its applicability, whether through anticipation or obviousness, to the claims at issue. Further, amendments made herein should not be construed as an admission to the scope of similar claims in related patents or applications. It is respectfully submitted that claims 1-9, 13-26 and 28-40 are allowable and such indication is requested.

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 1 7 2008

Attorney Docket No. 1489/US/1

2009/009

The Applicant believes no further fees or petitions are required. However, if any such petitions or fees are necessary, please consider this a request therefor and authorization to charge Deposit Account No. 04-1415 accordingly.

If the Examiner should require any additional information or otherwise desires to discuss the present matter with Applicant's attorney, please contact the undersigned attorney at 303-629-3427.

Dated: March 4, 2008.

Respectfully submitted

Gregory R Durbin, Registration No. 42,503

Attorney for Applicant

USPTO Customer No. 20686

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 370 17th Street, Suite 4700 Denver, Colorado 80202-5647 Telephone: 303-629-3400

Facsimile: 303-629-3450

4841-4586-9057\1